

This is a repository copy of *Association of food security status with overweight and dietary intake: exploration of White British and Pakistani-origin families in the Born in Bradford cohort*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/130132/>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Yang, Tiffany, Sahota, Pinki, Pickett, Kate orcid.org/0000-0002-8066-8507 et al. (1 more author) (2018) Association of food security status with overweight and dietary intake: exploration of White British and Pakistani-origin families in the Born in Bradford cohort. *Nutrition Journal*. 48. ISSN 1475-2891

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12937-018-0349-7>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

RESEARCH

Open Access



Association of food security status with overweight and dietary intake: exploration of White British and Pakistani-origin families in the Born in Bradford cohort

T. C. Yang^{1*}, P. Sahota², K. E. Pickett³ and M. Bryant⁴

Abstract

Background: Food insecurity has been associated with dietary intake and weight status in UK adults and children although results have been mixed and ethnicity has not been explored. We aimed to compare prevalence and trajectories of weight and dietary intakes among food secure and insecure White British and Pakistani-origin families.

Methods: At 12 months postpartum, mothers in the Born in Bradford cohort completed a questionnaire on food security status and a food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) assessing their child's intake in the previous month; at 18 months postpartum, mothers completed a short-form FFQ assessing dietary intake in the previous 12 months. Weights and heights of mothers and infants were assessed at 12-, 24-, and 36-months postpartum, with an additional measurement of children taken at 4–5 years. Associations between food security status and dietary intakes were assessed using Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney for continuous variables and χ^2 or Fisher's exact tests for categorical variables. Quantile and logistic regression were used to determine dietary intakes adjusting for mother's age. Linear mixed effects models were used to assess longitudinal changes in body mass index (BMI) in mothers and BMI z-scores in children.

Results: At 12 months postpartum, White British mothers reported more food insecurity than Pakistani-origin mothers (11% vs 7%; $p < 0.01$) and more food insecure mothers were overweight. Between 12 and 36 months postpartum, BMI increased more among food insecure Pakistani-origin mothers ($\beta = 0.77$ units, [95% Confidence Interval (CI): 0.40, 1.10]) than food secure ($\beta = 0.44$ units, 95% CI: 0.33, 0.55). This was also found in Pakistani-origin children (BMI z-score: food insecure $\beta = 0.40$ units, 95% CI: 0.22, 0.59; food secure $\beta = 0.25$ units, 95% CI: 0.20, 0.29). No significant increases in BMI were observed for food secure or insecure White British mothers while BMI z-score increased by 0.17 (95% CI: 0.13, 0.21) for food secure White British children. Food insecure mothers and children had dietary intakes of poorer quality, with fewer vegetables and higher consumption of sugar-sweetened drinks.

Conclusions: Food security status is associated with body weight and dietary intakes differentially by ethnicity. These are important considerations for developing targeted interventions.

Keywords: Ethnicity, Obesity, Food security, Diet

* Correspondence: tiffany.yang@bthft.nhs.uk

¹Bradford Institute for Health Research, Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Bradford BD9 6RJ, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2018 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Background

Food security is defined as having ‘access by all people at all times to enough food for an active, healthy life’ and takes several forms: quantity (access to enough food), quality (it is nutritionally adequate), and safety (food is safe and was obtained through socially acceptable means without resorting to coping strategies such as emergency food aid) [1, 2]. Food insecurity can therefore be defined as uncertainty surrounding food quality or quantity and has been increasing in Europe since the 2008 recession [3]. Despite the United Kingdom (UK) being one of the largest economies in the world, 10% of the population aged 15 years and older in 2014 have been reported to be food insecure and almost 20% of children aged 15 years and younger were reported to be living with a food insecure individual [2, 4].

The consequences of food insecurity range from anxiety about being able to provide a balanced meal, to worrying that food will run out, to skipping meals. These can lead to not only reductions in diet quality, which is compounded by the cheap and palatable nature of highly processed food, but also reductions in quantity which could lead to frank hunger [2, 5–8]. The level of food insecurity experienced can therefore have wide-ranging impacts and has been associated with poor mental health, difficulty managing chronic diseases, and child behaviour problems [9–16].

A relationship between food insecurity and body weight in women has been observed in previous studies, with increased prevalence of both underweight and overweight [14, 17, 18]. This relationship is less clear in children [12, 14, 19]. In the UK, two studies have assessed how food insecurity may impact dietary intake and body mass: among food insecure women, a reduction in dietary diversity was reported and, for children aged 3 years, there were no differences in body mass index (BMI) but food insecure children consumed more processed meat, crisps, and soft drinks, but fewer vegetables [17, 20]. However, these studies were unable to explore the role of ethnicity. Previous work in our study population have shown ethnic differences in food security status [10], dietary intakes in children [21], and availability of foods and beverages in the home [22]. While studies have indicated that food insecurity may be more prevalent among certain racial and ethnic groups [23, 24], to our knowledge, there are no studies in the UK on whether food insecurity may differentially influence BMI status and dietary intakes by ethnic group. Most studies on the impact of food insecurity on health in high-income countries come from the United States [25], Canada [26], and Australia [27], with few studies from the UK [2, 17, 28, 29].

In a multi-cultural and urban deprived city, this study examined the association of food security status with dietary intake, prevalence of overweight and obesity, and

longitudinal BMI and BMI z-score trajectories of White British and Pakistani-origin mothers and children.

Methods

Study population

The Born in Bradford (BiB) study is a longitudinal birth cohort which aims to examine the impact of environmental, psychological, and genetic factors on the health and well-being of mothers and children [30]. Bradford is a city in the north of England with high levels of ethnic diversity and socioeconomic deprivation, where approximately half of all BiB births are of Pakistani-origin. The BiB cohort recruited 12,453 women between 2007 and 2010 while they were attending the Bradford Royal Infirmary for universal oral glucose tolerance testing at 26–28 weeks gestation. Women self-completed a semi-structured questionnaire on socio-demographic characteristics, health and lifestyle behaviour, and consented to routine linkage of mother and child data [31]. Non-English speaking mothers were aided by the help of multi-lingual research assistants.

A sub-sample of the BiB cohort, the Born in Bradford 1000 longitudinal study (BiB1000, $n = 1735$), was recruited between August 2008 and March 2009 to obtain more detailed information on diet, anthropometry, social, behavioural, and environmental factors that were hypothesised to be associated with the development of obesity [32]. All women recruited to the BiB birth cohort during this period were invited to take part in BiB1000. Follow-up of the sub-sample occurred at 6-, 12-, 18-, 24-, and 36-months of child's age, with dietary data collected at 12 and 18 months. In this study, we utilised anthropometric data collected at baseline, 12-, 24-, and 36-months. Ethical approval was granted by the Bradford Research Ethics Committee (ref: 07/H1302/112).

Food security status

The validated United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) 18-item food security questionnaire was self-completed by English-speaking mothers during the 12-month BiB1000 follow-up assessment. Non-English speaking mothers were aided by multi-lingual research assistants. This questionnaire assesses quantitative and qualitative aspects of food intake and supply for the previous 12 months including: anxiety over inadequate food supply or budget, perceived inadequacy in quality or quantity of foods, and reduced food intake or perceived hunger in the adults or children [1]. Two categories of food security status were defined: food secure, where households reported little or no evidence of food insecurity, and food insecure, where households reported evidence of difficulty in managing access and quality of food intake. Answers were coded as ‘0’ or ‘1’ and summed to obtain a final score; scores < 3 indicated food security, while scores ≥ 3 indicated food insecurity [1].

Missing responses for items within the questionnaire were coded to '0'. Four women were excluded due to non-completion of the entire questionnaire, with ethnicity and food security data available for $n = 1105$ women.

Dietary intake

At the 18-month assessment, mothers self-completed the validated short-form food frequency questionnaire (SFFFQ) [33], which assessed the frequency of consumption of foods in the previous 12 months. This tool was modified to include foods commonly consumed in the local community such as culturally-appropriate snacks and sweets and take-away foods so that the final SFFFQ included 32 items. Foods were grouped based on energy density and product type to create 11 food groups: fruits, vegetables, potatoes (including fried, mashed), chips (including oven and fried), rice and breads, sweets and cakes, savoury snacks, fast food (including pasties, takeaway, kebabs), natural fruit juice, sugar-sweetened drinks including squash, and low-sugar drinks including low-sugar squash (Additional file 1). Reported maternal dietary intake data were converted into portions per day: rarely or never (0 portions/day), < 1/week (0.05 portions/day), 1/week (0.14 portions/day), 2–3 times/week (0.36 portions/day), 4–6 times/week (0.71 portions/day), 1–2 times/day (1.5 portions/day), 3–4 times/day (3.5 portions/day), 5+ times/day (6 portions/day).

The '5 A Day' recommendation by the UK government encourages the consumption of at least 5 portions of fruits and vegetables each day [34]. Participants were categorised as having met the '5 A Day' or not, excluding potatoes/potato products and fruit juice. A separate variable which included one serving of natural fruit juice as counting towards achieving the '5 A Day' was also created.

Child diet was assessed through a validated food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) [35] at the 12-month visit and was collected by a team of dietitian-trained multilingual community research assistants. Frequency of foods consumed in the previous month was reported by mothers. Additional items were included in the FFQ to reflect foods commonly consumed within the multi-ethnic population based on 24-h recalls; the final FFQ included 98 items.

Key indicator food groups were derived from the child FFQ by grouping foods into high and low energy density categories based on their contribution to the development of obesity [21]. Twelve key indicator food groups, plus water, were formed: baby formula milk, commercial savoury baby foods, commercial sweet baby foods, potatoes (including chips and roasted), processed meat products, vegetables (including tinned and salad), fruits (including fresh, tinned, and dried), cakes and sweets (including biscuits and chocolate), crisps and savoury snacks, sugar-sweetened drinks, juice, and low-sugar drinks. Frequency of consumption was converted into

portions/week: never (0 portion/week), 1–3 times per month (0.5 portion/week), 1 day/week (1 portion/week), 2 days/week (2 portions/week), 3 days/week (3 portions/week), 4 days/week (4 portions/week), 5 days/week (5 portions/week), 6 days/week (6 portions/week), 7 days/week (7 portions/week). Consumption of formula milk, commercial sweet and savoury baby foods, and sugar-sweetened and low-sugar drinks were categorised as 'consumer' (> 0 portion/week) or 'non-consumer' (0 portion/week).

Body weight

Mother's body mass index (BMI) was calculated as kg/m^2 from measured weight (kg; Seca 2in1 scales, Harlow Healthcare Ltd., London, UK) at the 12-, 24- and 36-month visits and height (m) from the baseline visit at the time of booking-in. BMI status categories were then derived following convention: underweight ($\text{BMI} < 18.5$), normal weight ($18.5 \leq \text{BMI} < 25$), overweight ($25 \leq \text{BMI} < 30$), and obese ($\text{BMI} \geq 30$).

Child weights and heights were obtained from the BiB1000 assessment at the 12-, 24-, and 36-month study visits as well as from the National Child Measurement Programme dataset (NCMP) collected when children were between the ages of 4–5 years old and obtained through data linkage. Some children had multiple NCMP measurements; the first measurement recorded after the age of 4 years was retained. The LMSgrowth Excel application was used to obtain the child's standard deviation scores (SDS) for their BMI, resulting in BMI z-scores which accounted for age and sex [36]. These scores were then used to classify children as overweight, including obese ($\text{BMI z-score} \geq 1.04$; BMI percentile ≥ 85 th percentile of the British 1990 growth reference).

Sociodemographic characteristics

Baseline questionnaires obtained information on mother's ethnicity, age (years), marital and cohabitation status (married; cohabiting with partner; partner, not cohabiting; single), education (A-level equivalent or higher; maximum of 5 General Certificate of Secondary Education [GCSE], unknown, or foreign), number of individuals living within the household in addition to the participant, whether the family received means-tested benefits (yes; no), and a question to elicit subjective feelings of poverty, asking how well they felt they were managing financially (struggling financially; not struggling financially).

Post codes were used to categorise homes into quintiles of national Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD), with lower quintiles indicating higher deprivation. IMD is used as a measure of relative deprivation for small areas in England. These small areas, known as Lower-layer Super Output Areas, were constructed to have similar population sizes in order to equally divide the

country to allow comparison and identification of the most deprived areas [37].

Data analyses

Participant socio-demographics, BMI status, and dietary intakes were calculated by food security status within ethnic group. We only considered differences between the White British and Pakistani-origin ethnic groups as they were the predominant ethnic groups within BiB1000 (38% and 49%, respectively); the remaining ethnic groups would not contribute to meaningful conclusions due to small sample sizes. Descriptive statistics are presented as mean and standard deviation (SD) for continuous measures and percentage (%) for categorical measures. Differences between food security status within ethnic groups were calculated with χ^2 or Fisher's exact tests for categorical variables and Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney for continuous variables.

Dietary data were available for $n = 1273$ and $n = 963$ women had complete data on ethnicity, food security, and dietary intake. For maternal dietary intakes, fruits and vegetables are reported as portions/day and the remaining foods are reported as portions/week by multiplying portions/day by 7. Among children with ethnicity and food security information, data were available in a range $n = 1101$ to $n = 1108$ for frequency of consumption, and $n = 604$ to $n = 1106$ for consumer/non-consumer data. For children, fruits, vegetables, cakes and sweets, and water intakes are reported as portions/day by dividing their respective portion/week by 7. Portions/week or per day for each food group were summed and reported as median (interquartile range [IQR]) of intake per week or per day as data were right-skewed. Unadjusted differences between food insecurity categories by ethnicity were calculated using Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney tests for continuous variables, and χ^2 or Fisher's exact tests for categorical variables. We used multivariable quantile regression to report median intakes controlling for mother's age. Reported β coefficients are interpreted as: food secure individuals consuming a median β portions/day or portions/week more or less than food insecure individuals, controlling for mother's age. Multivariable logistic regression was used for binary response variables (consumer vs. not consumer) to report odds of consuming sweet and savoury commercial baby foods, baby formula milk, and sugar-sweetened and low-sugar drinks for food secure compared to food insecure children. We used linear mixed effects regression models to explore BMI and BMI z-score trajectories by food security status and ethnicity in a subset of children ($n = 410$) and mothers ($n = 677$) who had complete weights and heights at study visits. In these models, time of measurement was set as the fixed effect and individual as random effect, and BMI and BMI z-score as the continuous outcomes for mothers and

children, respectively. All statistical analyses were carried out in R version 3.3.3 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2017).

Results

Characteristics

The majority of participants were food secure (91%), with Pakistani-origin mothers reporting greater food security than White British mothers (93% vs 89%; $p < 0.01$). Compared to Pakistani-origin food secure mothers, White British food secure mothers were less likely to live in the bottom 40% of areas of deprivation (72% vs 95%) or receive means-tested benefits (Additional file 2). Pakistani-origin food insecure mothers, compared to food secure, were older (30.3 [6.1] vs 27.8 [5.0] years; $p = 0.01$) and reported more individuals living in the household (7.8 [2.1] vs 5.2 [2.2]; $p = 0.02$) (Table 1). White British food insecure mothers, compared to food secure, were younger (25.1 [5.0] vs 27.8 [6.2] years; $p < 0.01$), more likely to receive means-tested benefits (59% vs 32%; $p < 0.01$), and reported more feelings of subjective poverty (74% vs 27%; $p < 0.01$).

Overweight and obesity in mothers

Over half of all mothers at 12 months postpartum were overweight or obese (Fig. 1). For Pakistani-origin mothers who participated in all study visits from 12- to 36-months, prevalence of overweight and obesity increased among both those who were food secure (53% to 60%) and food insecure (63% to 74%). However, regression models showed a greater increase in BMI among food insecure Pakistani-origin mothers (β [95% CI]: 0.77 [0.40, 1.10]) compared to those who were food secure (β [95% CI]: 0.44 [0.33, 0.55]) (Table 2). Among White British mothers, overweight and obesity remained at 52% for those who were food secure and 58% for those who were food insecure from 12- to 36-months. Regression models showed that BMI non-significantly increased by 0.14 units for those who were food secure (95% CI: -0.03, 0.31) and 0.18 units for those who were food insecure (95% CI: -0.20, 0.55).

Overweight and obesity in children

At 12 months of age, over 10% of all children were overweight or obese. For Pakistani-origin children who attended all study visits and had NCMP data at 4–5 years old, prevalence of overweight and obesity increased among those who were both food secure (10% to 22%) and food insecure (8% to 42%) (Fig. 2). This increase was also found in regression models, where BMI z-score increased by 0.25 units per assessment for Pakistani-origin children who were food secure (95% CI: 0.20, 0.29) and 0.40 units for those who were food insecure (95% CI: 0.22, 0.59) (Table 2). Among White British children, there was

Table 1 Characteristics of White British and Pakistani-origin women by food security status

| | White British | | | | | <i>p</i> -value* | Pakistani-origin | | | | | <i>p</i> -value* |
|---|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|----|--------------|------|--|------------------|
| | Food secure | | Food insecure | | Food secure | | Food insecure | | | | | |
| | N | Mean (SD)/ % | N | Mean (SD)/ % | N | | Mean (SD)/ % | N | Mean (SD)/ % | | | |
| Mother's age (years) | 426 | 27.8 (6.2) | 54 | 25.1 (5.0) | 0.0006 | 583 | 27.8 (5.0) | 41 | 30.3 (6.1) | 0.01 | | |
| Married/cohabitation status | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Married | 178 | 42 | 15 | 28 | 0.1 | 567 | 97 | 38 | 93 | 0.1 | | |
| Cohabiting with partner | 158 | 37 | 25 | 46 | | 4 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Partner, not cohabiting | 33 | 8 | 3 | 6 | | 2 | 0.3 | 1 | 2 | | | |
| Single | 57 | 13 | 11 | 20 | | 11 | 2 | 2 | 5 | | | |
| Number living in household | 55 | 3.7 (1.1) | 10 | 3.8 (1.5) | 0.9 | 68 | 5.2 (2.2) | 4 | 7.8 (2.1) | 0.02 | | |
| Mother's education ^a | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A-level equivalent or higher | 163 | 38 | 15 | 28 | 0.2 | 228 | 39 | 11 | 27 | 0.1 | | |
| Maximum of 5 GCSEs, unknown, foreign, other | 263 | 62 | 39 | 72 | | 353 | 61 | 30 | 73 | | | |
| National IMD | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Quintile 1 | 207 | 49 | 35 | 65 | 0.2 | 461 | 79 | 37 | 90 | 0.4 | | |
| Quintile 2 | 99 | 23 | 12 | 22 | | 91 | 15.5 | 4 | 10 | | | |
| Quintile 3 | 77 | 18 | 5 | 9 | | 29 | 5 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Quintile 4 | 25 | 6 | 1 | 2 | | 2 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Quintile 5 | 18 | 4 | 1 | 2 | | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Struggling financially | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 117 | 27 | 40 | 74 | < 0.0001 | 180 | 31 | 19 | 46 | 0.05 | | |
| No | 309 | 73 | 14 | 26 | | 404 | 69 | 22 | 54 | | | |
| Received means-tested benefits | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 136 | 32 | 32 | 59 | 0.0001 | 265 | 45 | 22 | 54 | 0.3 | | |
| No | 290 | 68 | 22 | 41 | | 319 | 55 | 19 | 46 | | | |

IMD, Index of Multiple Deprivation (quintile 1 indicates most deprived; quintile 5 indicates least deprived)

^aA-level is equivalent to a United States high school diploma* χ^2 or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables and Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney for continuous variables between food security status within ethnic group

a pattern of increasing overweight among the food secure (12% to 25%), but a decrease among the food insecure (24% to 19%); regression modelling showed that BMI z-score increased by 0.17 units (95% CI: 0.13, 0.21) in those who were food secure, and 0.06 (95% CI: -0.05, 0.17) in those who were food insecure.

Dietary intake in mothers

Pakistani-origin mothers who were food secure consumed fewer portions of fruit compared to those who were food insecure (median [IQR]: 0.36 [0.14, 1.50] vs 0.72 [0.36, 1.50] portions/day; $p = 0.02$) in unadjusted analyses (Additional file 3). This was also found in adjusted analyses, with food secure Pakistani-origin mothers consuming less fruit (β [95% CI]: -0.35 [-0.55, -0.35] portions/day) and snacks (β [95% CI]: -0.91 [-1.33, -0.36] portions/week) and more vegetables (β [95% CI]: 0.35 [0.32, 0.35] portions/day) compared to Pakistani-origin mothers who were food insecure (Table 3). Among White British mothers, those who were food secure consumed more

vegetables per day compared to those who were food insecure (median [IQR]: 1.07 [0.50, 1.55] vs 0.71 [0.38, 1.24] portions/day; $p = 0.02$) in unadjusted analyses (Additional file 3). This was also observed in adjusted analyses where food secure White British mothers consumed more vegetables (β [95% CI]: 0.20 [0.001, 0.40] portions/day) compared to food insecure White British mothers (Table 3).

Fewer than 10% of all mothers met the UK recommendation of '5 A Day'. There were no differences by food security status (Additional file 4). While not significantly different, Pakistani-origin mothers were more likely to achieve recommendations. When 1 serving of natural fruit juice was included towards reaching the '5 A Day', 13% of all participants were able to meet this goal, with no differences observed between the ethnic groups or by food security status.

Dietary intakes in children

We did not find differences in dietary intakes between food secure and insecure Pakistani-origin children in unadjusted




Fig. 1 Mothers' weight status by food security status

analyses (Additional file 3). In adjusted analyses, Pakistani-origin children who were food secure consumed more vegetables (β [95% CI]: 0.45 [0.16, 0.67] portions/day) and were less likely to consume sugar-sweetened beverages (OR [95% CI]: 0.44 [0.21, 0.85]) (Table 3). In unadjusted analyses, White British food secure children consumed less processed meat products (median [IQR]: 2.00 [0.50, 4.00] vs 3.00 [1.12, 5.00] portions/week; $p = 0.01$), cakes, biscuits, chocolate and sweets (median [IQR]: 0.71 [0.36, 1.14] vs 0.86 [0.45, 1.66] portions/day; $p = 0.02$), crisps and savoury snacks (median [IQR]: 2.00 [0.00, 3.00] vs 2.00 [1.00, 4.00] portions/week; $p = 0.002$), and sugar-sweetened beverages (39% vs 56% consumers, $p = 0.02$) compared to White British children who were food insecure. In adjusted analyses, White British children who were food secure consumed fewer portions of crisps and savoury snacks (β [95% CI]: -0.82 [-1.40, -0.37] portions/week).

Discussion

In this exploration of food security status in relation to ethnicity, weight, and dietary intakes in mothers and children, we found dietary intakes and prevalence and rate of overweight and obesity differed by food security status and ethnicity. Overweight and obesity were found to be greater among food insecure mothers and longitudinal analyses confirmed that, among all Pakistani-origin mothers, BMI increased between 12- and 36-months postpartum with greater increases observed among the food insecure. Similar patterns were observed in Pakistani-origin children and in food secure White British children

between 12 months and 4–5 years of age. While BMI and BMI z-score also increased over study visits among White British mothers and their food insecure children, these results were not statistically significant.

At 12 months postpartum, prevalence of overweight among food insecure mothers was higher than the UK prevalence of 58% in women [38]. The higher prevalence

Table 2 Change in BMI and BMI z-score for food secure and food insecure White British and Pakistani-origin mothers and children

| Mother (BMI) | N | β | (95% CI) |
|---------------------|-----|---------|---------------|
| White British | | | |
| Food secure | 233 | 0.14 | (-0.03, 0.31) |
| Food insecure | 33 | 0.18 | (-0.20, 0.55) |
| Pakistani-origin | | | |
| Food secure | 384 | 0.44 | (0.33, 0.55) |
| Food insecure | 27 | 0.77 | (0.40, 1.10) |
| Child (BMI z-score) | | | |
| White British | | | |
| Food secure | 178 | 0.17 | (0.13, 0.21) |
| Food insecure | 21 | 0.06 | (-0.05, 0.17) |
| Pakistani-origin | | | |
| Food secure | 199 | 0.25 | (0.20, 0.29) |
| Food insecure | 12 | 0.40 | (0.22, 0.59) |

BMI Body Mass Index

Change is from 12–36 months postpartum for mothers and 12 months to 4–5 years old in children




Fig. 2 Children's overweight and obesity by food security status

of overweight and obesity among the food insecure, compared to the food secure, has been observed in other studies [14, 18]. Some studies have also found that the relationship between BMI and food insecurity exhibits a U-shaped curve [39]. When assessing cross-sectionally among all women at 12 months when food security was measured, we observed more underweight among food insecure, compared to food secure, mothers. This was found among White British, but not Pakistani-origin, mothers and may be due to the level of food insecurity experienced; when we further categorised mothers who were food insecure into 'food insecure without hunger' or 'food insecure with hunger', more White British mothers were categorised as being 'food insecure with hunger'. As individuals cope with economic restrictions by shifting food quality, BMI may increase as a result of consuming lower-cost but higher-energy foods; a decrease in BMI could result from worsening food insecurity with a shift towards a very-low food security status where quantity of foods are likely to be affected [8, 18].

At 4–5 years old, prevalence of overweight among food insecure Pakistani-origin and food secure White British children was higher than the 22% prevalence reported by the NCMP [40]. In children, studies of food insecurity and weight have reported mixed findings. Some have found positive associations between food insecurity and body weight [16, 41, 42] while others have found none [17, 43], or even negative associations [44, 45]. Our results suggest that the relationship between food insecurity and child's overweight may differ by ethnicity. This is consistent with

results from Alaimo et al. 2001 who found an increased prevalence of overweight by food security status only among non-Hispanic White children in the USA.

We observed a decrease in the prevalence of overweight White British children who were food insecure from the 12-month to 4–5 year assessment. This may be due to a strain on family resources, as White British food insecure mothers reported struggling financially more than their Pakistani-origin counterparts and were more likely to receive means-tested benefits. This could lead to experiencing greater food insecurity, affecting the quantity of food consumed, and may be reflected in their dietary patterns through higher consumption of low-cost, energy-dense foods, such as processed meat products, sweet and savoury snacks, and sugar-sweetened drinks, compared to their food secure counterparts in unadjusted analyses [8]. The monetary and psychologically stressful nature of food insecurity may not only affect availability and consumption of certain foods, but changes in physiological responses, such as levels of cortisol, which may influence appetite and preferences for 'comfort foods' that are higher in fat and sugar [46]. However, even with the physiological drive and comparative abundance of energy-dense foods, the relative size and quantity of these foods may be affected.

We did not observe similar findings among Pakistani-origin children. Pakistani-origin families have potentially stronger social networks, such as extended families, which provide social, emotional, or financial support blunting the potential detrimental effects of

Table 3 Adjusted dietary intakes for food secure compared to food insecure White British and Pakistani-origin mothers and children

| | White British | | Pakistani-origin | |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | Ref: food insecure | | Ref: food insecure | |
| | N | β/OR (95% CI) | N | β/OR (95% CI) |
| Mother's intake | | | | |
| Fruits (portions/day) | 419 | 0.09 (−0.02, 0.16) | 543 | −0.35 (−0.55, −0.35) |
| Vegetables (portions/day) | 419 | 0.20 (0.001, 0.40) | 543 | 0.35 (0.32, 0.35) |
| Potatoes (portions/week) | 419 | 0.00 (−1.55, 0.00) | 543 | 0.61 (0.12, 0.79) |
| Chips (portions/week) | 419 | 0.33 (0.12, 0.68) | 543 | −0.14 (−0.85, 0.29) |
| Rice and breads (portions/week) | 419 | 0.19 (−0.16, 0.67) | 543 | −0.24 (−1.12, 0.97) |
| Sweets and cakes (portions/week) | 419 | 0.35 (−0.38, 1.65) | 543 | −0.11 (−1.18, 0.65) |
| Snacks (portions/week) | 419 | −0.20 (−0.79, 0.86) | 543 | −0.91 (−1.33, −0.36) |
| Fast food (portions/week) | 419 | −0.34 (−0.89, 0.24) | 543 | 0.18 (−0.91, 0.61) |
| Juices (portions/week) | 419 | −0.004 (−0.10, 1.52) | 543 | 0.56 (−0.11, 1.35) |
| Sugar-sweetened beverages, including squash (portions/week) | 419 | −0.72 (−1.48, 0.50) | 543 | 0.27 (−1.18, 0.77) |
| Low-sugar beverages, including squash (portions/week) | 419 | −0.65 (−5.58, 2.17) | 543 | 0.01 (−0.65, 0.59) |
| Child's intake | | | | |
| Baby formula milk* | | | | |
| Consumer | 472 | 1.11 (0.62, 1.98) | 617 | 1.22 (0.62, 2.55) |
| Commercial savory baby meals* | | | | |
| Consumer | 274 | 1.17 (0.37, 3.10) | 352 | 1.11 (0.46, 2.63) |
| Commercial sweet baby meals* | | | | |
| Consumer | 267 | 1.53 (0.62, 4.12) | 334 | 0.99 (0.36, 2.49) |
| Chips, roasts & potato shapes (portions/week) | 480 | −0.34 (−0.94, 0.05) | 623 | −0.06 (−0.70, 0.25) |
| Processed meat products (portions/week) | 480 | −0.67 (−1.74, 0.32) | 624 | 0.13 (−0.03, 0.19) |
| Vegetables (inc. tinned & salad) (portions/day) | 480 | 0.14 (−0.11, 0.31) | 624 | 0.45 (0.16, 0.67) |
| Fruits (inc. fresh, tinned & cooked) (portions/day) | 480 | 0.31 (−0.24, 0.49) | 624 | 0.001 (−0.43, 0.19) |
| Cakes, biscuits, chocolate and sweets (portions/day) | 480 | −0.19 (−0.54, 0.11) | 624 | −0.09 (−0.20, 0.12) |
| Crisps and savory snacks (portions/week) | 479 | −0.82 (−1.40, −0.37) | 621 | −0.25 (−1.32, 1.34) |
| Sugar-sweetened drinks* | | | | |
| Consumer | 480 | 0.57 (0.32, 1.01) | 622 | 0.44 (0.21, 0.85) |
| Pure fruit juices (portions/week) | 480 | 0.07 (−0.04, 0.11) | 621 | −1.11 (−2.61, 0.12) |
| Low sugar drinks* | | | | |
| Consumer | 476 | 0.75 (0.41, 1.38) | 622 | 1.60 (0.76, 3.80) |
| Water (portions/day) | 478 | 0.002 (−0.01, 0.43) | 619 | 0.01 (−0.01, 0.01) |

Adjusted for mother's age

*Odds ratio (OR) of consuming compared to not consuming for food secure compared to food insecure children

deprivation. This is suggested through the 'ethnic density hypothesis', where health benefits could be derived by ethnic minorities living in high-density areas of their own ethnic groups [6, 21, 47, 48]. These social and financial support networks may also help explain the lower prevalence of food insecurity reported among Pakistani-origin mothers.

We found that food security status was associated with dietary intakes for both Pakistani-origin and White British children with 'healthier' consumption among food secure children, such as consuming fewer sugar-

sweetened beverages and savoury snacks and more vegetables. Food insecure children may be 'substituting' higher nutrient-dense/lower energy-dense foods for more lower nutrient-dense/higher energy-dense foods, which are often cheaper when considered on a per-calorie basis, as well as more palatable [8, 9]. Our findings showing that food secure children consumed more 'healthy' foods and less 'unhealthy' foods are similar to those reported by Pilgrim et al. (2017). Among 3 year old children in the UK, those living in food secure households consumed more wholemeal bread, yoghurt,

and vegetables, and less white bread, processed meat, added sugars, crisps, and soft drinks [17].

A previous study in our population also found greater availability of sugar-sweetened beverages in Pakistani-origin homes [22]. It has been hypothesised that consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages in children is associated with obesity, which may account for the higher prevalence of overweight and obesity observed among our Pakistani-origin mothers and children and particularly among those who were food insecure [49]. This is supported by randomised-controlled trials of sugar-sweetened beverages reduction or substitution with non-sugar beverages that resulted in beneficial changes in body weight or BMI z-score [50–52]. Other studies have found an increase in snacking behaviours associated with those who consume sugar-sweetened beverages, resulting in increased caloric intake [53]. We found greater snacking among food insecure Pakistani-origin mothers, potentially contributing to the steadily increasing BMI observed.

Interestingly, while vegetable consumption was higher among both food secure Pakistani-origin and White British mothers, fruit consumption was higher among food insecure Pakistani-origin mothers compared to those who were food insecure. This could be due to a combination of cost coupled with cultural norms, such as maintaining a traditional diet [54]. Studies have found that fruit and vegetable prices are often lower in deprived areas or areas with high ethnic density, and consumption of fruits and vegetables is frequently higher among minority groups [55–57]. However, fewer than 15% of mothers met the recommended '5 A Day', lower than the reported 28% of women in England who met the guidelines [40].

Nine percent of mothers in our population were categorised as being food insecure; most of our mothers were considered to have marginal food insecurity and could be categorised as being 'food insecure without hunger', while nine White British and one Pakistani-origin mother had very low food security and could be categorised as being 'food insecure with hunger'. This prevalence is similar to that reported by the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO; 10%) but the true number may be higher, as the FAO did not capture less severe experiences of food insecurity such as anxiety around food quality or whether children were affected. Comparison of results between studies may depend on the method by which food insecurity is captured; for example, only individual personal-level, but not household child-level, food insecurity was associated with obesity in a study of children aged 6–11 years [43]. Additionally, some studies used questions that more closely estimate food insufficiency, which would be considered very low food security, whereas other studies utilised part or all of the USDA food security scale. Even in

instances of marginal food insecurity where there has not been a significant reduction in food quantity, similar health risks and sociodemographic and psychological patterns have been observed, exemplifying the lack of a clear cut-point where food insecurity impacts health and wellbeing [58]. In our population, food insecurity appeared to mainly affect adults, as only six mothers reported cutting the size of their child's meal, only five reported that their children were ever hungry, and only one reported that their child skipped a meal.

Limitations of our study include the relatively small sample size of individuals who were food insecure. We categorised food security status into two groups due to sample size concerns, though it has been suggested that marginal food security without hunger is its own risk category [58]. We were also only able to assess food insecurity at a single point in time, though individuals and households can cycle in and out of food security; therefore, this may impact estimation of prevalence as well as its relationship with dietary intake. For questionnaires that were incomplete, we imputed null values and therefore our estimate of food insecurity is conservative. Additionally, we assessed household food security status, which captures status for all children living in the household, though status may differ between children within a household. Our food insecurity measure was developed for use in the United States and may not be the best measure to use within diverse ethnic groups in the UK. Various measures have been used by others, which make direct comparisons of prevalence more difficult [2, 17, 29]. Children's overweight and obesity was determined using the British 1990, rather than the World Health Organization 2006 growth standards, which could have implications for direct comparison with international studies. We also did not control for multiple comparisons as this was an exploratory analysis, which increases the likelihood of false significant findings. However, our study is one of the first to examine the association of food security status with dietary intake and obesity in the UK, as well as the first to assess differences by ethnicity. We were also able to examine the trend in overweight and obesity prevalence and BMI and BMI z-score trajectory in a subset of our population with multiple assessments over time. Data linkage with the NCMP allowed us to capture child's weights and heights beyond cohort assessments, and continued follow-up of cohort members will provide opportunities to further examine how dietary intakes are shaped by social, economic, and environmental conditions.

Conclusion

This study characterised the association of food security status on weight and dietary intakes and suggests that ethnicity, potentially through cultural norms and community support, may lead to differences in how food insecurity is

experienced. This indicates that policy measures to assess and support food insecure families need to consider which groups may be more at risk in order to develop and appropriately target interventions. Further research is needed to determine how families may move in and out of food security status and how this may affect child and maternal health over time.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Groupings of 32 food items from the SFFQ at 18 months into 11 food groups. (DOCX 19 kb)

Additional file 2: Comparison of White British and Pakistani-origin characteristics by food security status. (DOCX 19 kb)

Additional file 3: Unadjusted dietary intakes for White British and Pakistani-origin mothers and children by food security status. (DOCX 25 kb)

Additional file 4: Proportion of White British and Pakistani-origin mothers who meet the recommended '5 A Day' for fruit and vegetable intake. (DOC 34 kb)

Abbreviations

BiB: Born in Bradford; BMI: Body Mass Index; CI: Confidence Interval; FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization; FFQ: Food Frequency Questionnaire; GCSE: General Certificate of Secondary Education; IMD: Index of Multiple Deprivation; IQR: Interquartile Range; NCMP: National Child Measurement Programme; SD: Standard Deviation; SFFQ: Short Form Food Frequency Questionnaire; UK: United Kingdom; USDA: United States Department of Agriculture

Acknowledgments

Born in Bradford is only possible because of the enthusiasm and commitment of the Children and Parents in BiB. We are grateful to all the participants, health professionals and researchers who have made Born in Bradford happen.

Funding

This work was supported by MRC Health eResearch Centre grant MR/K006665/1 and presents independent research commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) under its Programme Grant for Applied Research Programme (Grant Reference Number RP-PG-0407-10044). Funding bodies did not have a role in the design, collection, analysis, interpretation, or writing of the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

The data used and analysed in the current study are available from the corresponding author. Data from the Born in Bradford cohort can be requested via an 'expression of interest' at <https://borninbradford.nhs.uk/research/how-to-access-data/>.

Authors' contributions

TCY designed the study and conducted the analysis and writing of the manuscript. MB, PS, and KEP provided expertise, contributed to the analysis plan, interpretation of the results, and the writing of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval was granted by the Bradford Research Ethics Committee (ref: 07/H1302/112).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Bradford Institute for Health Research, Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Bradford BD9 6RJ, UK. ²School of Clinical & Applied Sciences, Leeds Beckett University, Leeds LS1 3HE, UK. ³Department of Health Sciences, University of York, York YO10 5DD, UK. ⁴Leeds Institute of Clinical Trials Research, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK.

Received: 29 November 2017 Accepted: 22 March 2018

Published online: 24 April 2018

References

- Bickel G, Nord M, Price C, et al. Guide to measuring household food security. 2000.
- Taylor A, Loopstra R. Too Poor to Eat Food insecurity in the UK. foodfoundation.org.uk; 2016. p. 1–12.
- Loopstra R, Reeves A, Stuckler D. Rising food insecurity in Europe. *Lancet*. 2015;385:2041. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(15\)60983-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60983-7).
- Pereira AL, Handa S, Holmqvist G. Prevalence and Correlates of Food Insecurity among Children across the Globe. Innocenti Work Pap no 2017–09 2017;1–37.
- Andreyeva T, Tripp AS, Schwartz MB. Dietary quality of americans by supplemental nutrition assistance program participation status: a systematic review. *Am J Prev Med*. 2015;49:594–604. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2015.04.035>.
- Dowler E, Lambie-Mumford H. How can households eat in austerity? Challenges for social policy in the UK. *Soc Policy Soc*. 2015;14:417–28. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1474746415000032>.
- Shamah Levy T, Morales Ruán C, Amaya Castellanos C, et al. Effectiveness of a diet and physical activity promotion strategy on the prevention of obesity in Mexican school children. *BMC Public Health*. 2012;12:152. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-152>.
- Drewnowski A, Specter SE. Poverty and obesity: the role of energy density and energy costs. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2004;79:6–16.
- Seligman HK, Schillinger D. Hunger and socioeconomic disparities in chronic disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;363:6–9. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1000072>.
- Power M, Uphoff E, Kelly B, et al. Food insecurity and mental health: An analysis of routine primary care data of pregnant women in the Born in Bradford cohort. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2016;1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2016-207799>.
- Melchior M, Caspi A, Howard LM, et al. Mental health context of food insecurity: a representative cohort of families with young children. *Pediatrics*. 2009;124:e564–72. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2009-0583>.
- Eisenmann JC, Gundersen C, Lohman BJ, et al. Is food insecurity related to overweight and obesity in children and adolescents? A summary of studies, 1995–2009. *Obes Rev*. 2011;12:73–83. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-789X.2010.00820.x>.
- Gundersen C, Ziliak JP. Food insecurity and health outcomes. *Health Aff*. 2015;34:1830–9. <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2015.0645>.
- Morales ME, Berkowitz SA. The Relationship Between Food Insecurity, Dietary Patterns, and Obesity. *Curr Nutr Rep*. 2016;1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13668-016-0153-y>.
- Belsky DW, Moffitt TE, Arseneault L, et al. Context and sequelae of food insecurity in children's development. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2010;172:809–18. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwq201>.
- Darling KE, Fahrenkamp AJ, Wilson SM, et al. Physical and mental health outcomes associated with prior food insecurity among young adults. *J Health Psychol Published Online First*. 2015; <https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105315609087>.
- Pilgrim A, Barker M, Jackson A, et al. Does living in a food insecure household impact on the diets and body composition of young children? Findings from the Southampton Women's Survey. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2012;66:e6. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.2010.125476>.
- Papan AS, Clow B. The food insecurity—obesity paradox as a vicious cycle for women: inequalities and health. *Gend Dev*. 2015;23:299–317. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13552074.2015.1053204>.

19. Larson NI, Story MT. Food insecurity and weight status among U.S. children and families: a review of the literature. *Am J Prev Med*. 2011;40:166–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2010.10.028>.
20. Baird J, Lawrence W, Jarman M, et al. Food insecurity, well-being and inequalities in diet in UK women. *J Epidemiol Community Heal*. 2010;64:A26–7. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.2010.120956.67>.
21. Sahota P, Gatenby LA, Greenwood DC, et al. Ethnic differences in dietary intake at age 12 and 18 months: the born in Bradford 1000 study. *Public Health Nutr*. 2015;19:1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980015000932>.
22. Bryant M, Sahota P, Santorelli G, et al. An exploration and comparison of food and drink availability in homes in a sample of families of white and Pakistani origin within the UK. *Public Heal Nutr*. 2015;18:1197–205. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980014000147>.
23. Pan L, Sherry B, Njai R, et al. Food insecurity is associated with obesity among US adults in 12 states. *J Acad Nutr Diet*. 2012;112:1403–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2012.06.011>.
24. Gooding HC, Walls CE, Richmond TK. Food insecurity and increased BMI in young adult women. *Obesity*. 2012;20:1896–901. <https://doi.org/10.1038/oby.2011.233>.
25. Holben DH, American Dietetic Association. Position of the American dietetic association: food insecurity in the United States. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2010;110:1368–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jada.2006.01.016>.
26. Kirkpatrick SJ, Tarasuk V. Food insecurity is associated with nutrient inadequacies among Canadian adults and adolescents. *J Nutr*. 2008;138:604–12.
27. Foley W, Ward P, Carter P, et al. An ecological analysis of factors associated with food insecurity in South Australia, 2002–7. *Public Health Nutr*. 2010;13:215. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980009990747>.
28. Thornton LE, Pearce JR, Ball K. Sociodemographic factors associated with healthy eating and food security in socio-economically disadvantaged groups in the UK and Victoria, Australia. *Public Health Nutr*. 2014;17:20–30. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980013000347>.
29. Tingay RS, Tan CJ, Tan NCW, et al. Food insecurity and low income in an English inner city. *J Public Health Med*. 2003;25:156–9. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pubmed/fdg032>.
30. Wright J, Small N, Raynor P, et al. Cohort profile: the born in Bradford multi-ethnic family cohort study. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2013;42:978–91. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dys112>.
31. Raynor P. Born in Bradford, a cohort study of babies born in Bradford, and their parents: protocol for the recruitment phase. *BMC Public Health*. 2008;8:327. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-8-327>.
32. Bryant M, Santorelli G, Fairley L, et al. Design and characteristics of a new birth cohort, to study the early origins and ethnic variation of childhood obesity: the BiB1000 study. *Longit Life Course Stud*. 2013;4:119–35. <https://doi.org/10.14301/lcs.v4i2.221>.
33. Clegghorn CL, Harrison RA, Ransley JK, et al. Can a dietary quality score derived from a short-form FFQ assess dietary quality in UK adult population surveys? *Public Health Nutr*. 2016;19:2915–23. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980016001099>.
34. Department of Health. Why 5 A DAY? NHS Choices. 2013.
35. Marriott LD, Inskip HM, Borland SE, et al. What do babies eat? Evaluation of a food frequency questionnaire to assess the diets of infants aged 12 months. *Public Health Nutr*. 2009;12:967–72. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980008003388>.
36. Pan H, Cole T. A Microsoft excel add-in to access growth references based on the LMS method. 2012.
37. Department for Communities and Local Government. The English Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) 2015 – Guidance. 2015.
38. Department of Health. Health Survey for England 2015 Adult overweight and obesity 2016.
39. Franklin B, Jones A, Love D, et al. Exploring mediators of food insecurity and obesity: a review of recent literature. *J Community Health*. 2012;37:253–64. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-011-9420-4>.
40. Health & Social Care Information Centre. Statistics on Obesity, Physical Activity and Diet - England, 2016. *Natl Stat* 2016;1–40.
41. Kral TVE, Chittams J, Moore RH. Relationship between food insecurity, child weight status, and parent-reported child eating and snacking behaviors. *J Spec Pediatr Nurs*. 2017;22:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jspn.12177>.
42. Chen Cheung H, Shen A, Oo S, et al. Food insecurity and body mass index: a longitudinal mixed methods study, Chelsea, Massachusetts, 2009–2013. *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2015;12:150001. <https://doi.org/10.5888/pcd12.150001>.
43. Kaur J, Lamb MM, Ogden CL. The association between food insecurity and obesity in children-the National Health and nutrition examination survey. *J Acad Nutr Diet*. 2015;115:751–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2015.01.003>.
44. Rose D. Household food insecurity and overweight status in young school children: results from the early childhood longitudinal study. *Pediatrics*. 2006;117:464–73. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2005-0582>.
45. Alaimo K, Olson CM, Frongillo EA Jr. Low family income and food insufficiency in relation to overweight in US children: is there a paradox? *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2001;155:1161–7.
46. Hemmingsson E. A new model of the role of psychological and emotional distress in promoting obesity: conceptual review with implications for treatment and prevention. *Obes Rev*. 2014;15:769–79. <https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.12197>.
47. Leung G, Stanner S. Diets of minority ethnic groups in the UK: influence on chronic disease risk and implications for prevention. *Nutr Bull*. 2011;36:161–98. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-3010.2011.01889.x>.
48. Shaw RJ, Atkin K, Bécas L, et al. Impact of ethnic density on adult mental disorders: narrative review. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2012;201:11–9. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.110.083675>.
49. Frantsve-Hawley J, Bader JD, Welsh JA, et al. A systematic review of the association between consumption of sugar-containing beverages and excess weight gain among children under age 12. *J Public Health Dent Published Online First*. 2017; <https://doi.org/10.1111/jphd.12222>.
50. Zheng M, Rangan A, Allman-Farinelli M, et al. Replacing sugary drinks with milk is inversely associated with weight gain among young obesity-predisposed children. *Br J Nutr*. 2015;114:1448–55. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114515002974>.
51. Looney SM, Raynor HA. Examining the effect of three low-intensity pediatric obesity interventions: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)*. 2014;53:1367–74. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0009922814541803>.
52. Chen L, Appel LJ, Loria C, et al. Reduction in consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages is associated with weight loss. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2009;89:1299–306. <https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.2008.27240>.
53. Bleich SN, Wolfson JA. U.S. adults and child snacking patterns among sugar-sweetened beverage drinkers and non-drinkers. *Prev Med (Baltim)*. 2015;72:8–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2015.01.003>.
54. Chowbey P, Harrop D. Healthy eating in UK minority ethnic households: Influences and way forward. *Better Heal Brief Pap*. 2016;42:0–12.
55. Cummins S, Macintyre S. A systematic study of an urban foodscape: the price and availability of food in greater Glasgow. *Urban Stud*. 2002;39:2115–30. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0042098022000011399>.
56. Wyke S, Landman J. Healthy eating? Diet and cuisine amongst Scottish south Asian people. *Br Food J*. 1997;99:27–34. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00070709710158852>.
57. NHS Health and Social Care Information Centre. Health Survey for England 2004 : The Health of Minority Ethnic Groups. *Natl Stat* 2005;1–58.
58. Cook JT, Black M, Chilton M, et al. Are food insecurity's health impacts underestimated in the U.S. population? Marginal food security also predicts adverse health outcomes in young U.S. children and mothers. *Adv Nutr*. 2013;4:51–61. <https://doi.org/10.3945/an.112.003228.51>.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

